

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,249	06/20/2003	Bronwyn Syiek	35581000003	5556
23418 7590 12/31/2007 VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ 222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601			EXAMINER	
			ISMAIL, SHAWKI SAIF	
CHICAGO, IL	00001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			H
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	10/600,249	SYIEK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shawki S. Ismail	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	eptember 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>64-105</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wit from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>64-105</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	·	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ad.	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	· ·	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		

10/600,249 Art Unit: 2155

#### **RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT**

1. This communication is responsive to the RCE amendment received on September 27, 2007.

Claims 1-63 have been cancelled.

Claims 64-105 are newly presented.

Claims 64-105 are presented for examination.

### **The New Grounds of Rejection**

2. Applicant's amendment and arguments received on September 27, 2007 have been fully considered, however they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 64-105, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Prince** U.S. Patent application No. **20040148506A1**.

Art Unit: 2155

5. As to claim 64, Prince teaches a method of controlling electronic mail (e-mail) message transmission over a network comprised of:

receiving, by a third entity, a first set of encoded e-mail addresses from a first entity, wherein said first set of encoded e-mail addresses represents e-mail addresses to which an e-mail message could be sent (refer to paragraph 0059);

compiling, by said third entity, a second set of encoded e-mail addresses, wherein said second set of encoded e-mail addresses represents e-mail addresses to which said e-mail message should not be sent (refer to paragraph 0030); and

removing, by said third entity, from said first set of encoded e-mail addresses, each encoded e-mail address that is in said second set of encoded e-mail addresses thereby yielding a third set of encoded e-mail addresses, wherein said third set of encoded e-mail addresses represents e-mail addresses to which said e-mail message may be sent, wherein a second entity is a source of said e-mail message (refer to paragraph 0048).

- 6. As to claim 65 Prince teaches the method of claim 64 wherein receiving said first set of encoded e-mail addresses includes receiving a first set of hash coded e-mail addresses (refer to paragraph 0031).
- 7. As to claim 66 Prince teaches the method of claim 64 wherein said first entity is an e-mail mass mailer (refer to paragraph 0059).
- 8. As to claim 67 Prince teaches the method of claim 64 wherein removing, by said third entity, from said first set of encoded e-mail addresses, each encoded e-mail

Application/Control Number:

10/600,249

Art Unit: 2155

address that is in said second set of encoded e-mail addresses thereby yielding said third set of encoded e-mail addresses comprises:

sorting said first set of encoded e-mail addresses into a first ordered list of encoded e- mail addresses wherein said encoded e-mail addresses are in ascending order (refer to paragraph 0032);

sorting said second set of encoded e-mail addresses into a second ordered list of encoded e-mail addresses wherein encoded e-mail addresses are in ascending order; beginning with a first entry in said first ordered list of encoded e-mail addresses, and

beginning with a first entry in said second ordered list, comparing the first entry in said first ordered list to the first entry in said second ordered list then successive entries in said second ordered list, until the value of an entry in said second ordered list equals or exceeds the value of the first entry in said first list (refer to paragraph 0032); and

removing the first entry from said first list upon the detection in said second ordered list of the first entry in said first ordered list, thereby yielding said third set of encoded e-mail addresses (refer to paragraph 0032).

- 9. As to claim 68 Prince teaches the method of claim 64 further including sending said third set of encoded e-mail addresses to an e-mail mass mailer via a data network (refer to paragraph 0059).
- a. As to claim 69 Prince teaches the method of claim 64 further including:
  identifying e-mail addresses that are encoded in said third set of encoded e-mail
  addresses (refer to paragraph 0059); and

10/600,249

Art Unit: 2155

e-mailing said e-mail message to said identified e-mail addresses (refer to paragraph 0059).

- 10. Claims 70-105 do not teach or define any new limitation above claims 64-69 therefore, they are rejected for similar reasons
- 11. Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

# **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawki S Ismail whose telephone number is 571-272-3985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached at 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/600,249

Art Unit: 2155

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shawki Ismail

Patent Examiner

December 16, 2007

PHILIP TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER